PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXA	MINING AUTHORITY			
To: KENNETH M. MASSARONI SCIENTIFIC-ATLANTA, INC. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 5030 SUGARLOAF PARKWAY LAWRENCEVILLE, GA 30044		PCT WRITTEN OPINION		
		,	(PCT Rule 66)	
		Date of Mailing (day/month/year)	95 SEP 2003	
Applicant's or agent's file reference		REPLY DUE	within 2 months/days from	
F-7313-PC			the above date of mailing	
International application No.	International Using date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
PCT/US02/38868	05 December 2002 (05.)		06 December 2001 (06.12.2001)	
International Patent Classification (IPC)	or bott hattonal classificat	ion and irc		
IPC(7): H04N 5/76 and US C).: 386/46 Applicant				
SCIENTIFIC-ATLANTA, INC.				
2. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: I				
		applicant may, before	ore the expiration of that time limit, request	
	a written reply, accompa and the language of the an		riate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3.	
For the exami	onal opportunity to submit iner's obligation to consid- tal communication with th	er amendments and/	or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis.	
	- ·	ination report will b	e established on the basis of this opinion.	
 The final date by which the in examination report must be e 		ale 69.2 is: <u>06 April</u>	2004 (06.04.2004)	
Name and mailing address of the IPEA Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	/US	Authorized office Thai Tran Telephone No. (Daling William	

Facsimile No. (703)305-3230
Form PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet)(July 1998)

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

PCT/US02/38868

I.	Bas	is of the opinion
١.	With	regard to the elements of the international application:*
		the international application as originally filed
	\boxtimes	the description:
		pages 1-45 , as originally filed
		pages NONE , filed with the demand
		pages NONE , filed with the letter of
	\boxtimes	the claims:
		pages NONE, as originally filed
		pages NONE , as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
		pages 46-51, filed with the demand, filed with the letter of
	K-78	
	\boxtimes	the drawings:
		pages 1-26 , as originally filed
		pages NONE, filed with the demand filed with the letter of
		the sequence listing part of the description:
		pages NONE, as originally filed pages NONE, filed with the demand
		pages NONE , filed with the letter of
2.	lang	h regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the quage in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. se elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is:
		the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule23.1(b)).
	Щ	the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
		the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination(under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3).
3.		h regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the written alon was drawn on the basis of the sequence listing:
		contained in the international application in printed form.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
		The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.
4.	\boxtimes	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
	R	873
		the description, pages NONE
		the claims, Nos. NONE
		the drawings, sheets/fig NONE
5.		This opinion has been drawn as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
i.		ncement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in Thion as "originally filed."
	r.	

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No. PCT/US02/38868

	úrns NONE	
	ime NONE	
	ans none	YES
	iros 1-40	NO
		×
	ims <u>NONE</u> ims <u>1-40</u>	YES
C.1.	1 70	
	ims <u>1-40</u>	YES
Cla	ims <u>NONE</u>	NO
lease See Continuation Sheet		

WRITTEN	OPMION

International application No. PCT/US02/38868

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

TIME LIMIT:

The time limit set for response to a Written Opinion may not be extended. 37 CFR 1.484(d). Any response received after the expiration of the time limit set in the Written Opinion will not be considered in preparing the International Preliminary Examination Report.

V. 2. Citations and Explanations:

1. Claims 1-40 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Aref et al.

Regarding claim 1, Aref et al discloses a media content recording system (Figs 1-2) in a subscriber television system, comprising:

- a memory for storing logic (system manager sequence control files of Fig. 1);
- a buffer space of buffering a plurality of media content instances (write buffer 34 of Fig. 2); and
- a processor configured with the logic to designate as permanent only a media content instance among the plurality of media content instances in the buffer space that is requested by a user for permanent recording (Video Server 10 of Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 2, Aref et al discloses the claimed wherein the processor is further configured with the logic to provide a user interface, responsive to input from the user, that segregates the media content instances of the buffer space into separately identifiable media content instances and enables the user to select and permanently record at least one of the media content instances (col. 5, lines 40-49).

Regarding claim 3, Aref et al discloses the claimed wherein the processor is further configured with the logic to enable the user to permanently record a displayed media content instance of the buffer space by selecting a button of an input device during any buffered and displayed frame of the media content instance to be permanently recorded (col. 5, lines 40-49).

Regarding claim 4. Aref et al discloses the claimed wherein the processor is further configured with the logic to provide the buffered media content instances as entries in a displayed pre-configured list that enable s to user to select which entry to be permanently recorded (col. 5, lines 40-49).

Regarding claim 5, Aref et al discloses the claimed wherein the processor is further configured with the logic to maintain a management file for each of the buffered media content instances, wherein the processor is further configured with the logic to maintain a status flag in the management file wherein the status flag is configured as temporary for a buffer media content instance that is not designated for permanent recording (col. 5, lines 50-61).

Regarding claim 6. Aref et al discloses the claimed wherein the processor is further configured with the logic to configure the status flag of the management file for a buffered media content instance as permanent when the user requests that said media content instance be permanently recorded, wherein the processor is further configured with the logic to cause the permanently recorded media content instance to have a permanent designation in a file allocation table in response to having the status flag of the corresponding management file configured as permanent, such that the buffer space storing the permanently recorded media content instance becomes designated as non-buffer space (Fig. 3).

Regarding claim 7, Aref et al discloses the claimed wherein the processor is further configured with the logic to use media content instance guide data to determine the start time and stop time of a media content instance buffered into the buffer space (col. 5, lines 50.61)

Regarding claim 8, Aref et al discloses the claimed wherein the processor is further configured with the logic to determine the

International application No. PCT/US02/38868

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

receipt time into the buffer space by using the time indicated by an internal clock (Video Server 10 of Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 9, Aref et al discloses the claimed wherein the processor is further configured with the logic to configure the media content instance as media content instance files (col. 5, lines 40-49).

Regarding claim 10, Aref et al discloses the claimed wherein the processor is further configured with the logic to randomly generate file names for the media content instance files (col. 5, lines 40-49).

Regarding claim 11, Aref et al discloses the claimed wherein the processor is further configured with the logic to use titles of the media content instances from media content instance guide data as media content instance file names (col. 5, lines 40-49).

Regarding claim 12. Aref et al discloses the claimed wherein the media content instance file names include channel number. the media content instance title, and the source of the media content instance (col. 5, lines 40-49).

Regarding claim 13, Aref et al discloses the claimed wherein the processor is further configured with the logic to cause the buffer space of the permanently recorded media content instance to be designated as non-buffer space (Fig. 3).

Regarding claim 14. Aref et al discloses the claimed wherein the processor is further configured with the logic to buffer analog broadcast media content instance, received at a communications interface, as digitally compressed media content instances (col. 3, lines 37-43).

Regarding claim 15, Aref et al discloses the claimed wherein the processor is further configured with the logic to buffer an analog signal received at a connector from a consumer electronic device, as a digitally compressed media content instance (col. 3, lines 37-43).

Regarding claim 16, Aref et al discloses the claimed wherein the processor is further configured with the logic to buffer digital broadcast media content instances, received at a communications interface, as digitally compressed media content instances (col. 3, lines 37-43).

Regarding claim 17. Aref et al discloses the claimed wherein the processor is further configured with the logic to buffer digital media-on-demand media content instances, received at a communications interface from a remote server, as digitally compressed media content instances (col. 3, lines 37-43 and col. 5, lines 41-49).

Regarding claim 18, Aref et al discloses the claimed wherein the processor is further configured with the logic to buffer digital media content instances, received at a digital communications port from a local network, as digitally compressed media content instances (col. 3, lines 37-43 and col. 5, lines 41-49).

Regarding claim 19, Arel et al discloses the claimed wherein the processor is further configured with the log media content instances, received at a digital communications port from a local device, as digitally compressed medi instances (col. 3, lines 37-43 and col. 5, lines 41-49). Regarding claim 20, Arel et al discloses the claimed wherein the processor is further configured with the log				
	permanently designated media content instance as requested by the user (Fig. 3). Method claims 21-40 tack novelty for the same reasons as discussed in apparatus claims 1-20.			
	 Claims 1-40 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry. 			
	NONE NEW CITATIONS			